

Kingsgate Community Meeting, September 15, 2010

Sponsored by Totem Lake Neighborhood Association, 38 Attendees

Option	A&D	B	C&F.2	E	F.1
Overview	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> One neighborhood Minor expansion to add condos north of the hospital to Kingsgate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> E-W divide at 124th Avenue NE Adds condos 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NW-SE divide with Kingsgate associations in NW segment Adds condos 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Same as A&D but adds NE quadrant of Totem Lake to Kingsgate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Same as C & F.2 but splits NE quadrant of Totem Lake between Kingsgate & "Firloch"
Meeting: Preferred Votes	2	0	14	6	9
Online Survey: Results	32	8	18	21	14
Pros Identified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Leaves Totem Lake Neighborhood intact Totem Lake could be added in the future 	NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Neighborhoods closer in size and population Totem Lake keeps its business identity Acknowledges existing homeowners associations More cohesive Smaller size Includes park More balanced with neighborhoods and populations Totem Lake has a separate identity – people outside of Kirkland know where Totem Lake is located Can't see need to merge Totem Lake 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Kingsgate is one community with many common interests – any division is arbitrary Kingsgate (west) residents have a strong interest in how land on the east side of the hill is developed We would like more of a voice in commercial area development (Totem Lake) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Gives residents of Totem Lake commercial area the option to be part of a residential planning area Like the smaller areas Keep Totem Lake commercial planning area separate More say for each individual resident Like idea of including Totem Lake business district
Cons Identified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Could be too large to form consensus 	NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> North Totem Lake condos may not share same priorities – different mix 	None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Still too large Divides an already cohesive neighborhood
Changes Identified			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Leave north Totem Lake condos in the Totem Lake neighborhood 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Complete Queensgate annexation up to 160th 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Divide into 3-4 neighborhoods instead of 2

Kingsgate Results Summary

	Online Survey (93 submitted)	Meeting (31 voters)
Preferred an option that divides neighborhood	43% yes 57% no	74% yes 26% no
If divide, then where (<i>% of those favoring divide</i>)	80% NW-SE divide 20% E-W divide	100% NW-SE 0% E-W
Preferred an option that merges Totem Lake Quads	38% merge 62% don't merge	48% merge 52% don't merge

Finn Hill Community Meeting, September 22, 2010

Co-sponsored by Denny Creek Neighborhood Alliance and Finn Hill Park and Recreation District, 49 Attendees

Option	A&E	B	B.2*	C	D	F.1&F.2
Overview	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Leaves Finn Hill Neighborhood intact Adds Wild Glen condos 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> NE-SW divide at Juanita Drive Moves base of slope at 100th & Goat Hill to Juanita neighborhoods 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 3 neighborhoods by combining options B&D 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> E-W divide at 84th Ave NE Adds area north of Juanita Woodinville Way 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> N-S divide at roughly NE 132nd St 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Same as D Moves base of slope at 100th & Goat Hill to Juanita neighborhoods
Workshop: Preferred Votes	7	12	12	9	0	6
Online Survey: Results	77	52	Not included in survey	13	27	13
Pros Identified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Keep united Finn Hill – bigger voice – bigger population – more clout – greater diversity 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Current neighborhood group Established stewardship Planning likeness Zoning commonality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Equal neighborhoods Follow school boundaries Follow geologic boundaries Equal population 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Keeps watershed and park together Keeps the schools together 	NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Recognizes school, topographic boundaries Good population divide
Cons Identified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Too big to organize 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No schools in west Splits the watershed Wetlands and Big Finn Hill are split Population too unbalanced OO Denny Park will have to continue to be maintained by the Park Department 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Three neighborhoods Bottom of hill to Juanita 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No schools in Finn Hill east neighborhood 	NA	None
Changes Identified	None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Goat Hill should be left in Finn Hill** Goat Hill community beach should be moved into Finn Hill 	None	None	NA	None

Finn Hill Results Summary

	Online Survey (182 submitted)	Meeting (46 voters)
Preferred an option that divides neighborhood	58% yes 42% no	85% yes 15% no
If divide, then 2 or 3 (<i>% of those favoring divide</i>)	NA	69% 2 neighborhood 31% 3 neighborhoods
If divide, then where (<i>% of those favoring divide</i>)	50% Juanita Drive 38% NE 132 nd St 12% 84 th Ave NE	26% Juanita Drive 13% NE 132 nd St 20% 84 th Ave NE 26% Juanita & 132 nd (3 n'hoods)
Preferred an option that places Goat Hill & East Slope in Finn Hill or Juanita	36% Juanita 64% Finn Hill	39% Juanita 61% Finn Hill

North Juanita Community Meeting, September 23, 2010

Sponsored by Juanita Neighborhoods Association, 46 Attendees

Note: Due to a scheduling conflict, a facilitated large group discussion was conducted rather than small group exercises

Option	A&D	B&F.2	C	E	F.1
Overview	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Retains existing boundaries Consolidates North Juanitas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consolidates North Juanitas Moves base of slope at 100th to North Juanita Moves Goat Hill to South Juanita 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consolidates North Juanitas Move area north of Juanita Woodinville Way to Finn Hill 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Same as A, but moves Totem Lake quadrants to North & South Juanita Consolidates North Juanitas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Same as B, but moves Totem Lake quadrants to North & South Juanita
Workshop: Preferred Votes	5	12	0	0	1
Online Survey: Results	20	15	2	3	12
Pros Identified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Makes sense to blend North Juanitas* 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Base of hill (west of 100th) more focused on Juanita business district and access** 	NA	NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> I 405 is a large divide for all of Kirkland's neighborhoods and should be for Totem Lake
Cons Identified	None	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Goat Hill and base of hill pay for Finn Hill Park District 	NA	NA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Business district should stay together Totem Lake businesses have unique interests distinct from residential interests Totem Lake should be City's economic center – all neighborhoods have an interest
Changes Identified	None	None	NA	NA	None

* All attendees agreed that merging the North Juanitas was a good idea

** 3 attendees representing 2 properties west of 100th were in attendance and had opposite opinions on this matter. No Goat Hill residents were in attendance

North Juanita Results Summary

	Online Survey (52 submitted)	Meeting (18 voters)
Preferred an option that merges Totem Lake Quads	29% merge 71% don't merge	5% merge 95% don't merge
Preferred an option that places Goat Hill & East Slope in Finn Hill or Juanita	52% Juanita 48% Finn Hill	72% Juanita 23% Finn Hill